CITY OF ST. FRANCIS
ST. FRANCIS, MN
ANOKA COUNTY

Via ZOOM
Work Session Agenda
JUNE 8, 2020
6:00 PM

1. Call to Order/Roll Call
2. Liquor Renewal Fees Discussion
3. Poppy Street Improvement Discussion
4. Adjournment

*This meeting will be a Virtual Meeting through Zoom, please visit our website https://www.stfrancismn.org/citycouncil/page/city-council-work-session-21 for a link to connect to Monday’s Work Session.
TO: Mayor & City Council  
FROM: Joe Kohlmann, City Administrator  
SUBJECT: Liquor Licenses / Letter of Support  
DATE: June 8th, 2020

OVERVIEW

Below are the beverage licenses that have likely been impacted by COVID-19:

LICENSE RENEWALS FOR 2020

LIQUOR LICENSES:  
(1) Beef O’Bradys $4,000.00 $200.00  
(2) Patriot Lanes 4,000.00 200.00  
(3) Rum River Inn 4,000.00 200.00  
(4) St. Francis American Legion 4,000.00 200.00  
(5) The Ponds Golf Course 4,000.00 200.00  

WINE/BEER LICENSES:  
(1) Mansetti’s $400.00  
(2) Tasty Pizza $400.00  

There has been some suggestions that cities are potentially considering discounting these fees for 2021.  

Also, would the City Council like Staff to draft a Resolution of Support for businesses impacted by COVID-19 for consideration at the June 15th City Council Meeting???

Action to be considered:  
Review and Discuss.
TO: Mayor & City Council
FROM: Craig Jochum, City Engineer
SUBJECT: Poppy Street Improvement Discussion
DATE: June 8th, 2020

OVERVIEW
I discussed Poppy street with the MnDOT State Aid office to see what our options would be for using state aid money. The following are several options we could consider.

1. We could use local funds for the portion that we share with Oak Grove (the first 1,050 feet) and State Aid Money for the remaining road (last 550 feet). This would mean that Oak Grove would also have to come up with local street funds. However they could keep their section with ditches and not have to buy right of way.

2. Both Cities could use State Aid funds but we would need to meet State Aid standards. One of the main concerns of Oak Grove was the required tree clearing. State Aid would allow this street to be classified as “Suburban” which would allow a clear zone of only 10 feet. So if they wanted to stay with a ditch design they would have to buy enough right of way for the clear zone which would be about 10 feet from the edge of the existing road. This would reduce the clearing of trees.

3. State Aid requires a minimum of 60 of right of way total. St Francis has 33 feet on their side. If Oak Grove went with the curb they would need to obtain 27 feet on their side which is only several feet beyond the edge of the existing road. With curb the tree clearing would be minimal. They did not seem to want to spend the money on a reconstruct with curbs and storm sewer.

4. One extreme option would include only doing half the road. It should be noted however that a majority of the existing street surface is within Oak Grove. It takes about 1,000 feet before the existing centerline tapers back to the municipal boundaries as shown on the attached plans. With that said it would be tricky to do just half the road because most of the road is in Oak Grove. I would suggest we get the City Attorney’s opinion before we review an option like this. As with option 1 we would need to use local street funds adjacent to Oak Grove.

Considerations:
Review and Discuss.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Construction</th>
<th>St. Francis</th>
<th>Oak Grove</th>
<th>Overhead and Contingency (18%)</th>
<th>St. Francis</th>
<th>Oak Grove</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>35 FOOT Back To Back Street</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternative 1</td>
<td>$409,834</td>
<td>$181,712</td>
<td>$73,770</td>
<td>$32,708</td>
<td>$483,604</td>
<td>$214,420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternative 2</td>
<td>$435,574</td>
<td>$155,972</td>
<td>$78,403</td>
<td>$28,075</td>
<td>$513,977</td>
<td>$184,047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 FOOT Back To Back Street</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternative 1</td>
<td>$394,757</td>
<td>$174,398</td>
<td>$71,056</td>
<td>$31,392</td>
<td>$465,813</td>
<td>$205,790</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternative 2</td>
<td>$420,497</td>
<td>$148,658</td>
<td>$75,689</td>
<td>$26,758</td>
<td>$496,186</td>
<td>$175,416</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Alternative 1 - The north portion of Poppy Street is completely within St. Francis. With this alternative Oak Grove would pay 50% of the trail and only their portion of the road that is within Oak Grove.

Alternative 2 - The north portion of Poppy Street is completely within St. Francis. With this alternative Oak Grove would pay 0% of the trail and only their portion of the road that is within Oak Grove.